1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9                  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10   JOHNNY L. FRANKLIN, JR.,

11          Plaintiff,                    No. CIV S-03-0925 DFL DAD P

12       vs.

13   CAMILLE WILLIAMS, et al.,

14          Defendants.                   ORDER

15   _____/

16          On March 3, 2006, findings and recommendations were filed in this action

17   recommending that defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted and that this action be

18   dismissed.  Any objections to those findings and recommendations were to be filed and served by

19   March 22, 2006.  On March 20, 2006 plaintiff filed a request for an extension of time to file

20   objections which states in its entirety as follows:

21          Plaintiff is request more time do to the fact plaintiff has been
            working on a criminal case as of now and need more time to
22          respone. 30 day's extension would see appropriate in the would
            allow?

23

24   (Plaintiff's Request for More Time filed March 20, 2006).

25          Plaintiff does not provide any information about the criminal case he is

26   purportedly working on and whether it involves criminal charges pending against himself or

1

1   someone else.  Plaintiff also has not indicated the nature of his objections to the pending findings

2   and recommendations, the progress he has made in drafting those objections or why he needs an

3   additional thirty days to file and serve those objections.  Finally, the undersigned notes that

4   plaintiff filed an opposition to the defendants' motion for summary judgment which was twenty-

5   six handwritten pages in length as well as a separate statement of undisputed facts and exhibits.

6   In those documents plaintiff has attempted to set forth his arguments with respect to the motion

7   pending before the court in great detail and to the best of his ability.

8           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's March 20, 2006, request

9   for an extension of time to file his objections to the pending findings and recommendations, is

10  denied.

11  DATED: March 22, 2006.

12

13  _____

    DALE A. DROZD
14  UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

    DAD:4
15  fran925.eot

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2